

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,630	06/24/2003	Yoshiyukie Yamanashi	500.42827X00	1139	
20457	7590 06/18/2004		EXAMINER		
	LI, TERRY, STOUT &	ALI, MOHAMMAD M			
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3744		

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)		W/		
Office Action Summary		10/601,63	0	YAMANASHI ET	AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mohamma		3744				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayer of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the replayer in the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no ever ply within the statu I will apply and will te, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day l expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.	June 2003.						
•	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mer								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election re	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					•		
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. No	te the attached Office	; Action of form F	10-132.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer				1.04			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			ed in this Nationa	ll Stage			
* (application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a lis			ha				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certi	ied copies not receive	5u .				
Attachmer	nt(s)							
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	D)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F		ΓO-152)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/24/03</u> .	·,	6) Other:	h h sager (i	-,			

Application/Control Number: 10/601,630

Art Unit: 3744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsutomu (JP05-2727950 in view of Sato et al. (JP09-079654) and O'Leary et al. (5,699,221). Tsutomu discloses an air-conditioning system comprising indoor and outdoor units, a compressor (inherent), an outdoor transmission/receiving unit/ outdoor signal transmission circuit 7, outdoor signal receiving circuit 8, an outdoor power line A/B, an indoor transmission/receiving unit/ an indoor signal transmission circuit 5, an indoor signal receiving circuit 6, an indoor power line A/B, an outdoor conversion unit/outdoor control circuit 4, an indoor conversion circuit /indoor control circuit 3.

Tsutomu discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. See Fig. 1 and translated abstract. However Tsutomu does not disclose plurality of indoor and out door unit and radio signal. Sato et al. teach the use of plurality of indoor and out door

Art Unit: 3744

units 3A and 3B in an air-conditioning system for the purpose of having a control on a large air-conditioning system. See Fig. 1-2 and the translated abstract; O'Leary et al. teach the use of a radio signal in an air conditioning system for the purpose of having a control on the air conditioning system. O'Leary et al. also disclose a telephone signal. See column 1, lines 62-67. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the air-conditioning system of Tsutomu in view of Sato et al. and O'Leary et al. such that plurality of indoor and outdoor units and Dario signal could be provided in order to control an air-conditioning system. Regarding claim 2 for operation data and an identification signal for determining whether said operation data is required to received or not: An ordinary skilled in the art can fix an available receiver on the field like the receiver 3 and 4 of Tsutomu as per requirement of the feature. The similar adjustment can be done for the other dependent claims as per requirement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad M. Ali, whose telephone number is (703) 308-5032. The examiner can be reached from 6:10am to 4:30pm from Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel, can be reached at (703) 308-2597. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7764 for regular communications and after-final communications.

Art Unit: 3744

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Mohammad M. Ali

June 16, 2004